

In this essay I am going to be arguing in support of protecting cane toads. I have read many articles which have made me come to a conclusion that is cane toads being treated as monsters when they are visitors. I will also be considering a balanced argument being that cane toad being a menace, however my main viewpoint is defending cane toads.

From my data research into looking into cane toad news articles it is clear that these amphibious animals are going bashed with lumps of wood by the people of Australia. For them to live peace maybe we could build a wall to stop them foraging into gardens and front lawns. They should be treated as visitors because they are!

Cane toads are described as "...big, ugly, creature...". (Mercer 2006) Which are covered with wobbly warts and have a poisonous gland and skin that can kill cane toads were imported to Australia in 1925 from the Amazon rain forest to ward off cane beetles and protect sugar cane plantations. Unfortunately this scheme didn't go as planned because the cane toad population rose rapidly.

Why are cane toads known as beasts? They kill other animals but not purpose, it's self defence. Nick Squires said, "It's the wrong message to children." (Nick Squires 2007) I think cane toad should not get killed!

It was simple to find evidence against cane toads. Cane toad are known to be pest because they eat their position. Some of my data research showed the people of Australia are hitting, beating and "whatever was at hand." (Phil Mercer 2006)

I then believe cane toad should not get hit by golf clubs and lumps of wood. They should not get called a monster - they should get treated as visitors because they are. (Nick Squires 2007) 'Cane toad gets out of bounds' The Telegraph (2006/07) Phil Mercer (2006) 'Australians hunt down toxic toads' BBC News Darwin (18/03/2006)